

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,573	12/31/2001	Robert L. Popp	KCC 4770 (K.C. NO. 17,310	4042	
321 7.	590 07/16/2003				
	SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL			EXAMINER	
16TH FLOOR	OPOLITAN SQUARE R 1O 63102		REICHLE, KARIN M		
ST LOUIS, MC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	Ų.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>\}</b>				ع م
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/036,573	POPP ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
-	•	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
Doring of 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	iress
Period fo		/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE 4 M	NITHON FROM	
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this control  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 L	<u>December 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims			e merits is
· _	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	l.		
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	
	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).
	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No( formal Patent Application (PTC	•

Application/Control Number: 10/036,573 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-21, drawn to A Mechanical Fastening System, classified in class 604, subclass 391.
  - II. Claims 22-27, drawn to A Method of Making a Loop Material, classified in class 156, subclass 229.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

  distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

  used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

  made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

  product as claimed could be made by another and materially different process which aligns the

  fibers in a direction without applying force, i.e. manufacturing, e.g. extruding, the fibers arranged

  or aligned in a parallel or unidirectional pattern.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to diverging fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

`&.

.7"

Application/Control Number: 10/036,573

Art Unit: 3761

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 4. claimed invention: 1) one of the species of stabilizers: either an elastomeric material, an inelastic material or thermal bonds, 2) one of the species of force application: without substantial necking or gathering or with concomitant necking or narrowing and 3) one of the species of orientation: either machine direction or cross machine direction.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, i.e one from each of 1), 2) and 3) supra, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/036,573

Art Unit: 3761

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

As noted above, Applicant must elect one species from each of 1), 2), and 3) supra, e.g.

elastic material, without necking or gathering and the machine direction.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Richard L. Bridge on July 10, 2003 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to K. M. Reichle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2617. The Examiner's

regular work schedule is Monday-Thursday. The Official RightFAX number is 703-872-9302.

KMR

July 15, 2003

K.M. Rauche 177011 1240 125 BATEST BERRASE

Page 4